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Summary

ESCRT-I, -II, and -III protein complexes are sequentially recruited to endosomal membranes, where they orchestrate protein sorting and MVB biogenesis. In addition, they play a critical role in retrovirus budding. Structural understanding of ESCRT interaction networks is largely lacking. The 3.6 Å structure of the yeast ESCRT-II core presented here reveals a trilobal complex containing two copies of Vps25, one copy of Vps22, and the C-terminal region of Vps36. Unexpectedly, the entire ESCRT-II core consists of eight repeats of a common building block, a “winged helix” domain. Two PPXY-motifs from Vps25 are involved in contacts with Vps22 and Vps36, and their mutation leads to ESCRT-II disruption. We show that purified ESCRT-II binds directly to the Vps20 component of ESCRT-III. Surprisingly, this binding does not require the protruding N-terminal coiled-coil of Vps22. Vps25 is the chief subunit responsible for Vps20 recruitment. This interaction dramatically increases binding of both components to lipid vesicles in vitro.

Introduction

Downregulation and subsequent degradation of membrane receptors involves two ubiquitin-dependent membrane budding steps (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003; Hicke and Dunn, 2003; Katznmann et al., 2002; Peschard and Park, 2003; Raiborg et al., 2003). In the first step, the plasma membrane containing clustered ubiquitinated receptors buds inward to form vesicles that are delivered to endosomes. In the second step, the endosomal membrane buds away from the cytosol to form vesicles within the endosome. As the endosome accumulates internal vesicles, it takes on a morphology known as a multivesicular body (MVB). The limiting membrane of the MVB eventually fuses with the lysosomal membrane to expose the internal vesicles to the hydrolytic contents of the lysosome (Gruenberg and Stenmark, 2004; Piper and Luzio, 2001). In addition to this traffic from the plasma membrane, biosynthetic cargo is transported from the late Golgi via MVBs to the vacuolar lumen.

Formation of inward-budded vesicles from the endosomal membrane is controlled by cytosolic factors that are not related to the factors that control endocytosis. Genetic screens in yeast identified 18 class E vps mutants that have defects in sorting proteins into MVBs.

Ten of these proteins are part of three multiprotein complexes (reviewed in Conibear, 2002; Katzmann et al., 2002; Morita and Sundquist, 2004). These are the 350 kDa ESCRT-I complex (consisting of Vps23, Vps28, and Vps37) (Katzmann et al., 2001), the 155 kDa ESCRT-II complex (Vps22, Vps25, and Vps36) (Babst et al., 2002b), and the ESCRT-III complex (Vps2, Vps24, Vps20, and Vps32) (Babst et al., 2002a). Each of these complexes has human orthologs that are required for lysosomal traffic. The human ESCRT-I complex consists of Tsg101 (Vps23 homolog) (Babst et al., 2000; Garrus et al., 2001; Li and Cohen, 1996), hVps28 (Bishop and Woodman, 2001), and the recently identified Vps37 homologs hVps37A and hVps37B (Bache et al., 2004; Stuchell et al., 2004), while the human ESCRT-II subunits correspond to EAP20 (Vps25), EAP30 (Vps22), and EAP45 (Vps36) (Kamura et al., 2001; Schmidt et al., 1999). The ESCRT-III components Vps2, Vps24, Vps32/Snf7, and Vps20 are homologous with the human proteins CHMP2A, CHMP3, CHMP4B, and CHMP6, respectively (Martin-Serrano et al., 2003; von Schwedler et al., 2003).

Many of the cargo proteins destined for delivery to vacuoles or lysosomes are monoubiquitinated (Katzmann et al., 2001; Reggiori and Pelham, 2001; Urbanowski and Piper, 2001). Several ubiquitin-recognition modules are present in the ESCRT complexes, including the UEV domain of Vps23 (Katzmann et al., 2001; Parnillos et al., 2002; Sundquist et al., 2004; Teo et al., 2004) and the NZF-finger domain of Vps36 (Alam et al., 2004). Deletion of Vps23 prevents formation of MVBs; however, this defect can be partially overcome by overexpression of ESCRT-II, suggesting that the ESCRT-II functions downstream of ESCRT-I (Babst et al., 2002b). ESCRT-II forms a stable, soluble complex of defined stoichiometry. In contrast, the ESCRT-III subunits are cytosolic and monomeric until they are recruited to membranes, a process that is dependent on ESCRT-II (Babst et al., 2002a).

Interactions within the ESCRT complexes have been extensively investigated by yeast two-hybrid analysis (Bowers et al., 2004; Martin-Serrano et al., 2003; von Schwedler et al., 2003). Within the ESCRT-II complex, all possible pairs of interactions among Vps22, Vps25, and Vps36 were reported. In addition, these studies also show interaction between ESCRT-II and ESCRT-III. Although the methodology does not unequivocally establish direct interactions, each of these studies showed an interaction between the ESCRT-III Vps20 subunit (or its human ortholog) and each of the components of the ESCRT-II complex. The ESCRT-III subunits share several features including an N-terminal half rich in basic residues, an acidic C-terminal half, and one or more regions predicted to have a coiled-coil architecture (Babst et al., 2002a). Although the ESCRT-III subunits are monomeric in the cytosol, in the context of a ΔVps4 mutant that is defective in disassembly of the ESCRT complexes, the ESCRT-III subunits colocalize on endosomal membranes and can be communoprecipitated. It has been proposed that initiation of the recruitment
of the ESCRT-III complex is brought about by the myristoylated Vps20 subunit binding to ESCRT-II (Babst et al., 2002a). The presence of two predicted coiled-coil regions in Vps22 might be mediated by coiled-coil interactions between Vps20 and Vps22 (Babst et al., 2002b).

To gain insight into the proposed role of ESCRT-II as a nucleus for the formation of the ESCRT-II/ESCRT-III network on endosomal membranes, we have determined the three-dimensional structure of yeast ESCRT-II, characterized the interactions that ESCRT-II makes with the Vps20 subunit of ESCRT-III, and shown that the Vps25 subunit of ESCRT-II forms a direct complex with Vps20, which greatly facilitates recruitment of both ESCRT-II and Vps20 to liposomes in vitro.

Results and Discussion

The three subunits of the yeast ESCRT-II complex were coexpressed in *E. coli*, and the purified complex crystallized in space group P4_322 with cell dimensions a = 150 Å and c = 186 Å. The 3.6 Å structure was solved by the multiple anomalous dispersion method with a selenomethionine-substituted crystal. Furthermore, the register of the sequence in the electron density was verified using an additional data set collected to optimize the signal from the sulfurs of the Met and Cys residues (Supplemental Figure S1 at http://www.developmentalcell.com/cgi/content/full/7/4/559/DC1/). The structure of the complex shows that ESCRT-II contains two copies of Vps25 and one copy each of Vps22 and Vps36. No density is visible for residues 1–395 of Vps36 and residues 1–21 of Vps22. SDS-PAGE analysis of crystals showed that Vps36 had been partially proteolyzed, and the truncated form was preferentially incorporated into crystals. No change in diffraction resolution. Although we obtained crystals with protein preparations having an intact Vps36, they diffracted only to very low resolution (2.8 Å). Despite extensive efforts to improve the diffraction limit of the crystals, the highest resolution obtained was 3.4 Å and temperature factors for the complex are correspondingly large. Because of the relatively weak data at the resolution limit, the refinement was carried out to 3.6 Å resolution and the data collection statistics for this resolution are shown in Table 1. The structure of the ESCRT-II core complex shows that the N terminus of the truncated Vps36 construct is involved in a crystal
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The protruding N-terminal helical domain of Vps22 subunit is colored orange, while its two winged helix domains are yellow; the Vps36 subunit is pink and the two Vps25 subunits are colored cyan and blue. The two winged helix (WH) domains in each subunit are labeled as WHA and WHB. The elements of secondary structure and the N and C termini are also indicated.

(B) A view of the complex approximately 90° from the view in (A), showing a rather flat “profile” of the complex.

(C) The crystallographic coiled-coil interaction of the Vps22 N-terminal helix. The asymmetric unit of the crystal contains one complex of ESCRT-II, but as a consequence of a crystal contact, the N-terminal helices of Vps22 molecules from two adjacent complexes pack together, forming an antiparallel coiled-coil (one molecule is colored orange, the other white). ESCRT-II is a monomer in solution, but potentially, the Vps22 N-terminal helix could form a coiled-coil dimer, either with another ESCRT-II complex or some other (currently unknown) binding partner.

contact, explaining why these crystals were not able to accommodate the full-length Vps36 despite having very high solvent content (72%) and large, continuous solvent voids.

The Overall Shape of ESCRT-II

The overall trilobal shape of the complex resembles the character τ, with the upper branches of the letter corresponding to two molecules of Vps25 and the stem representing the tightly packed Vps22 and Vps36 subunits (Figure 1). The first helix of the N-terminal 5-helix domain of Vps22 protrudes from the complex to form a prominent intermolecular interaction in the crystal. The dimensions of the complex are approximately 120 Å between the tips of the two Vps25 domains and 50 Å in thickness between the outer surfaces of the paired Vps22 and Vps36 subunits. Two structurally similar domains arranged head-to-tail give each of the subunits an oblong shape. The two Vps25 subunits are aligned approximately colinear with each other and related by a rotation angle of about 156°. The two Vps25 subunits make no direct contacts with each other. However, the N-terminal domain of one Vps25 subunit (molecule B) contacts the C-terminal domain of Vps36, and the other Vps25 subunit (molecule C) contacts Vps36, burying 1637 Å² and 1531 Å² surface area, respectively. In addition, Vps36 makes much less extensive contacts (444 Å²) with the Vps25 molecule B. The interface of Vps22 with the Vps25 molecule C is minimal (155 Å²).

The Vps22 and Vps36 subunits pair side-by-side along the long axis of their ellipsoids and bury 2669 Å² of surface area between them, suggesting a very tight interface. The extensive Vps22/Vps36 interface is consistent with the observation that neither Vps36 nor Vps22 can be expressed alone in E. coli (data not shown). Furthermore, no soluble complex was obtained when Vps22 and Vps36 were coexpressed in E. coli in the absence of Vps25. Although Vps25 forms stable interactions in the ESCRT-II complex, this subunit can be readily expressed, purified, and crystallized on its own.

The ESCRT-II Core Is Built from Eight Winged Helix Domains

Surprisingly, the structure shows that Vps22, Vps25, and Vps36 each contain two repeats of a domain with an H1/β1/H2/H3/β2/β3 topology that has been referred to as a “winged helix” (WH) domain, which is present in a variety of proteins—most notably transcription factors (Figure 2; Gajiwala and Burley, 2000). The three helices of each of the WH domains assemble beneath a small β sheet formed by the three antiparallel β strands. Within each subunit, the N-terminal WH domain (WHA) packs against the C-terminal WH domain (WHB) in a head-to-tail manner, with the exposed surface on the WHB interacting with ends of helices H1 and H3 of the WHB. All of the WH domains in the ESCRT-II complex superimpose on each other with an rms deviation less than 2 Å, although the three ESCRT-II subunits have no recognizable sequence similarity (Figure 3). These domains form the interactions that give rise to the ESCRT-II complex, as well as the interactions with the ESCRT-III subcomplexes, which are essential for MVB formation (see below).

In addition to the winged helix core, the structure of ESCRT-II has a prominent extension at the bottom of the Vps22/Vps36 stem, which protrudes away from the body of the complex. This extension represents part of the N-terminal 5-helix domain of Vps22 (residues 22–90). The first helix of this domain is a distinctly amphipathic helix that forms coiled-coil interactions with a 2-fold related copy of this helix from another complex in the crystal (Figure 1C). Although this 2-fold intermolecular interaction between Vps22 subunits is extensive in the
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in protein-protein interactions that facilitate transcriptional activation. The analogous H3 and β sheet surfaces of the Vps22 and Vps36 WHB domains expose a series of hydrophobic residues that interact with Vps25. In addition, Vps25 has prominent exposed hydrophobic patches that could bind other proteins such as Vps20 (see below).

Interactions between the Vps22 and Vps36 Subunits

The yeast Vps22/Vps36 interaction surface is largely hydrophobic (Figure 4A), with several interspersed salt links. There are four salt links between the Vps22 WHA and Vps36 WHA domains; the residues forming these salt links are not conserved among Vps22 and Vps36 subunits from other species (Figure 4A). The contacts between the Vps22 and Vps36 N-terminal WH domains are more extensive than between the C-terminal WH domains. These WHA contacts involve helix H1 and the H3/β2 loop of Vps22. On the Vps36 side, the interactions involve helices H2 and H3 and the loops preceding them and connecting them. The Vps22/36 contacts in the WHB domains are more restricted and involve H3 of Vps22 and the β2/β3 loop (Wing 1) of Vps36.

Interactions of the Vps25 Subunits with Vps22 and Vps36

Whereas the Vps22/Vps36 subunits interact side-by-side using elements from both WH domains of each of the two subunits, the Vps22/Vps25 and Vps36/Vps25 interactions are a head-to-tail arrangement with the WHB of Vps22 or Vps36 contacting the WHA domain from one of the Vps25 subunits (Figure 1). These head-to-tail interactions involve almost exclusively the β2 and β3 strands, the β2/β3 loop (Wing 1), and the loop following β3 (Wing 2) of the Vps22 and Vps36 WHB domains, contrasting sharply with the side-to-side Vps22/36 interactions involving primarily helical elements and connecting loops. The binding site on the Vps25 subunit involves an N-terminal segment encompassing the PPXY motifs, H1 residues, and the strictly conserved Arg83 from the WHA domain.

An Exposed Hydrophobic Surface Is a Common Protein-Protein Recognition Element in WH Domains

The canonical winged helix architecture includes two “wings,” the β2/β3 loop referred to as Wing 1 and the long loop following β3 referred to as Wing 2 (Gajiwala and Burley, 2000). The ESCRT-II WH domains either lack or have very short Wing 2 extension (Figure 2). Like other winged helix domains, all of the secondary structure elements in the ESCRT-II winged helices have hydrophobic residues that interdigitate to form a hydrophobic core of the domain. Among the DNA binding WH domains, it is common that helix H3 fits into the minor groove to act as a recognition element. Furthermore, protein-protein interactions involving winged helix transcription factors use exposed hydrophobic patches (Clark et al., 1993; Finnin et al., 1997; Gajiwala and Burley, 2000). For example, the activation domain of the bacteriophage T4 transcription factor has a winged helix fold, and mutagenesis studies suggest that an exposed hydrophobic patch on the surface of the WH β sheet may be involved in protein-protein interactions that facilitate transcriptional activation. The analogous H3 and β sheet surfaces of the Vps22 and Vps36 WHB domains expose a series of hydrophobic residues that interact with Vps25. In addition, Vps25 has prominent exposed hydrophobic patches that could bind other proteins such as Vps20 (see below).

Interactions between the Vps22 and Vps36 Subunits

The yeast Vps22/Vps36 interaction surface is largely hydrophobic (Figure 4A), with several interspersed salt links. There are four salt links between the Vps22 WHA and Vps36 WHA domains; the residues forming these salt links are not conserved among Vps22 and Vps36 subunits from other species (Figure 4A). The contacts between the Vps22 and Vps36 N-terminal WH domains are more extensive than between the C-terminal WH domains. These WHA contacts involve helix H1 and the H3/β2 loop of Vps22. On the Vps36 side, the interactions involve helices H2 and H3 and the loops preceding them and connecting them. The Vps22/36 contacts in the WHB domains are more restricted and involve H3 of Vps22 and the β2/β3 loop (Wing 1) of Vps36.

Interactions of the Vps25 Subunits with Vps22 and Vps36

Whereas the Vps22/Vps36 subunits interact side-by-side using elements from both WH domains of each of the two subunits, the Vps22/Vps25 and Vps36/Vps25 interactions are a head-to-tail arrangement with the WHB of Vps22 or Vps36 contacting the WHA domain from one of the Vps25 subunits (Figure 1). These head-to-tail interactions involve almost exclusively the β2 and β3 strands, the β2/β3 loop (Wing 1), and the loop following β3 (Wing 2) of the Vps22 and Vps36 WHB domains, contrasting sharply with the side-to-side Vps22/36 interactions involving primarily helical elements and connecting loops. The binding site on the Vps25 subunit involves an N-terminal segment encompassing the PPXY motifs, H1 residues, and the strictly conserved Arg83 from the WHA domain.

Two Tandem PPXY Motifs in Vps25 Are Central to Intersubunit Interactions

Residues 1–19 of Vps25 include two helical turns that encompass two conserved PPXY motifs, 5-PPVY-8 and 11-PPLY-14. PPXY motifs are often ligands for WW domains, and the Rsp5/Nedd4 ubiquitin ligase, an enzyme that plays an essential role in endosomal protein sorting, is recruited by these motifs (Dunn and Hicke, 2001; Hettema et al., 2004; Katzmann et al., 2004). However, in ESCRT-II these motifs are involved in intermolecular contacts within the complex. Both PPXY motifs from one Vps25 interact with Vps22. Very similar interactions are made between the second copy of Vps25 and Vps36 (Figures 4B and 4C). The Vps25 interface with Vps22 and Vps36 is centered on the strictly conserved Phe10^Vps25, which sticks like a ball-in-socket into a hydrophobic pocket on Vps22 (lined with Trp212, Trp224, Pro226, Trp228, and Ile229) or Vps36 (lined with Leu545, Leu546, Ile547, Tyr557, and Tyr561). Pro6 from the first 5-PPXY-8 motif as well as both proline residues from the second
PPXY motif (11-PP-12) make hydrophobic interactions with Vps22. Equivalent interactions are formed between Vps36 and the second copy of Vps25. Although the tyrosines of the PPXY motifs make no direct contact with either the Vps22 or Vps36 subunits, they buttress the Pro-Pro motifs against the 76–85 region of the Vps25 WHA. A Vps25 double mutant, Y8A/Y14A, when coexpressed with Vps22 and Vps36, yielded only free Vps25 and no intact complex (Vps22 and Vps36 coexpression with Vps22) (Figure 4). Both of these residues are conserved in Vps22 and Vps25 subunits from other species.

Conserved Salt Links Stabilize the Interactions of Vps25 with Vps22 and Vps36

In addition to nonpolar interactions that appear to dominate the Vps25/Vps22 interface, there is a salt link between Arg83 of Vps25 and Asp214 in the WHB domain of Vps22 (Figure 4). Both of these residues are conserved in Vps22 and Vps25 subunits from other species.
In light of the ESCRT-II crystal structure, which shows that the N-terminal helix of Vps22 protrudes from the stem of the complex and makes coiled-coil interactions with adjacent complex in the crystal, this was a very attractive proposal. To test this, we made an N-terminal truncation of Vps22 (residues 1–40 deleted) that removes most of the protruding helix H1 of the N-terminal domain and coexpressed and purified the ESCRT-II complex. This truncation had no noticeable affect on ESCRT-II complex formation, as expected based on the lack of interactions of this helix with the rest of the complex. Surprisingly, the truncated complex bound as well as full-length ESCRT-II to GST-Vps20 (Figures 5A and 5B), showing that the N-terminal helix of Vps22 is not responsible for interaction with Vps20. In addition, the purified N-terminal fragment of Vps22 (residues 1–90, which we could purify in large amounts [50 mg/l E. coli culture]) did not show any binding to Vps20 (data not shown), confirming that the N-terminal helical domain of Vps22 is neither necessary nor sufficient for Vps20 interaction. Currently, we cannot exclude the possibility that this N-terminal helical domain of Vps22 could be involved in coiled-coil interactions with some other ESCRT-III subunit. However, comprehensive yeast two-hybrid analysis of human ESCRT-II/ESCRT-III interactions did not detect any interaction of ESCRT-II other than with Vps20 subunit of ESCRT-III, suggesting that Vps22-mediated coiled-coil interactions with ESCRT-III are not likely (Bowers et al., 2004; Martin-Serrano et al., 2003; von Schwedler et al., 2003).

In addition, we show that ESCRT-II complexes in which the N terminus of Vps36 is truncated (residues 1–370 deleted) do not affect binding to Vps20 (Figure 5B). This region of Vps36 is, however, necessary for

---

**Figure 4. Hydrophobic Interactions Inter-**

Figure 4. Hydrophobic Interactions Intersperse with a Few Salt Links to Form the Intersubunit Contacts in ESCRT-II

In solid surface representation, hydrophobic residues are colored white, residues involved in intersubunit salt links are colored red (acidic) and blue (basic), and the rest of the residues are colored bronze.

(A) The interface between Vps22 (solid surface) and Vps36 (pink ribbon) involves both WH domains from both subunits. Vps36 residues making hydrophobic interactions are shown as pink sticks, and those forming salt links are blue or red sticks.

(B) The interface between the WHB domain of Vps22 (solid surface) with the WHA domain of Vps25 (blue worm) is predominantly hydrophobic and includes proline residues from the PPXY motifs in Vps25 (cyan). Phe10(Vps25) (green) at the junction between the two PPXY motifs interacts with Vps22. Neither of the tyrosines (purple) from the PPXY motifs interacts with Vps22. Conserved residues forming a salt bridge between the two molecules (Asp214(Vps22) and Arg83(Vps25)) are highlighted. The locations of some of the interacting residues from Vps22 are also indicated.

(C) The interface between the WHB domain of Vps36 (solid surface) with the second copy of Vps25 in the ESCRT-II complex shows similar features as Vps22/25 interface, including the hydrophobic “ball-joint” formed by Phe10(Vps25), interactions with the PPXY motifs, and the salt bridge between the Asp548(Vps36) and Arg83(Vps25).

---

**second molecule of Vps25 in the ESCRT-II complex makes equivalent interactions with the WHB domain of Vps36, which is pseudo-symmetric with the WHB domain of Vps22. An analogous salt link is formed between Arg83 of Vps25 and Asp548 of Vps36. Similarly to Asp214 of Vps22, Asp548 of Vps36 is conserved in other species.**

**ESCRT-II Interacts Directly with Vps20 Subunit from ESCRT-III**

Both genetic and biochemical data indicate that ESCRT-II interacts with Vps20 (Babst et al., 2002b; Bowers et al., 2004; Martin-Serrano et al., 2003; von Schwedler et al., 2003), and it was shown that this interaction plays an important role in the formation of ESCRT-III complex (Babst et al., 2002a). However, because all the current evidence is based on yeast two-hybrid interactions or pull-down assays using yeast extract, it is not clear whether the interaction is direct or indirect, via an unknown bridging molecule. We used purified ESCRT-II and Vps20 to examine whether they can interact directly in vitro. Using GST-tagged Vps20 and pull-down assays, we could demonstrate direct, specific interaction between full-length ESCRT-II and Vps20 that results in all three ESCRT-II subunits being retained by GST-Vps20 on glutathione beads (Figure 5A).

**The Protruding N-Terminal Coiled-Coil Region of Vps22 Does Not Bind Vps20**

The next question is which ESCRT-II subunit(s) is responsible for interaction with Vps20. It was previously proposed that the predicted coiled-coil regions of Vps22 could bind to coiled-coils of Vps20 (Babst et al., 2002b).
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Figure 5. ESCRT-II Interacts Directly with the
Vps20 Component of the ESCRT-III Complex
via Vps25, which Greatly Increases Binding
of Both Partners to Membranes

GST-Vps20 was incubated with either full-
length ESCRT-II or with ESCRT-II complexes
containing N-terminally truncated Vps36 (resi-
dues 1–370 deleted, ^H9004
Vps36) or Vps22 (resi-
dues 1–40 deleted, ^H9004
Vps22). The reactions
were incubated with glutathione resin, and
washed and the bound proteins were eluted
with SDS sample buffer and analyzed by
SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

(A) Binding of ESCRT-II to Vps20 does not
require the N-terminal 40 residues of Vps22.

(B) Binding of ESCRT-II to Vps20 does not
require the N-terminal 370 residues of Vps36.

(C) Full-length ESCRT-II binds to GST-Ub, but
not to a GST-UbI44A (a mutant of the "I44"-
patch on Ub shown to be important for endo-
cytosis of ubiquitinated proteins [Sloper-
Mould et al., 2001]). Right panel shows that
ESCRT-II complex with truncated Vps36
(1–370 residues deleted) does not interact
with GST-Ub.

(D) Isolated Vps25 alone interacts with
GST-Vps20.

(F and G) Purified ESCRT-II binds to lipid vesi-
cles in vitro and greatly increases binding of
Vps20 to membranes. Purified proteins, 5
M each, either alone or mixed together, were
incubated with brain liposomes and sedi-
mented by ultracentrifugation.

(F) Lipid binding of either ESCRT-II alone (Vps22/^H9004
36/25, i.e., with N-terminal 1–370 residues of Vps36 deleted), of Vps20 alone, or of the two
components together.

(G) Lipid binding of isolated Vps25 either alone or together with Vps20. When mixed together, both proteins bind to membranes much more
efficiently than either protein alone. Pellets (P) were resuspended in the same volume as the supernatants (S), and equal volumes of the two
fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

interaction of ESCRT-II with ubiquitin (Figure 5C), since
its deletion greatly reduces the binding of purified trun-
cated ESCRT-II to GST-ubiquitin using GST pull-down
assays (Figure 5C). These results are consistent with
recent findings that the NZF-1 domain from yeast Vps36
(residues 177–205) binds specifically to ubiquitin (Alam
et al., 2004).

The Vps25 Subunit Interacts Directly with Vps20

We next tested whether Vps25 subunit could interact
with Vps20. The structure shows that only the tips of
the Vps25 subunits are involved in contacts with the
other two ESCRT-II subunits, and most of the Vps25
surface is accessible for other interactions. Using puri-
fied Vps25 and Vps20, we show direct interaction be-
tween these two subunits (Figures 5D and 5E). Specific
binding of the two proteins is demonstrated by pull-
downs both on glutathione resin via GST-tagged Vps20
(Figure 5D) and on Ni-resin via His6-tagged Vps25 (Fig-
ure 5E). We could also demonstrate binding by band-
shift analysis on native gels, as the electrophoretic mo-
bility of the Vps20/Vps25 protein complex drastically
differs from nonbound proteins (data not shown). The
interaction between Vps20 and Vps25 is likely to be
conserved in human ESCRT complexes, since the
strongest interaction of CHMP6 (the human equivalent
of Vps20) was observed with EAP20 (human equivalent
of Vps25) in yeast two-hybrid screens (Martin-Serrano
et al., 2003; von Schwedler et al., 2003).

ESCR-T-II Binds to Lipid Vesicles and Dramatically
Increases Binding of Vps20 to Membranes

The work of Emr and colleagues established several
important observations concerning cellular localization
of ESCRT-II and ESCRT-III complexes. First, the
membrane association of ESCRT-II in intact yeast does not
depend on ESCRT-III. Second, proper localization of
ESCR-T-III in yeast does depend on ESCRT-II (Babst et
al., 2002b). In light of these observations, we tested
binding of ESCRT-II to lipid vesicles in the absence and
presence of Vps20. Purified ESCRT-II shows detectable
binding to liposomes made of total brain lipids (Figure 5F).
Vps20 also shows some binding to the liposomes.
However, when mixed together, membrane binding of
both ESCRT-II and especially Vps20 dramatically in-
creases (Figure 5F). The same effect, i.e., a dramatic
increase in Vps20 binding to liposomes, is observed
when Vps20 is mixed with Vps25 (Figure 5G). These
observations are consistent with in vivo data that ESCRT-III membrane localization requires ESCRT-II (Babst et al., 2002a). We do not know which ESCRT-II subunit(s) participates directly in membrane binding. The N-terminal region of Vps22 from various species (equivalent to the first 22 residues preceding the N-terminal helix H1, which are disordered in the crystal structure) is particularly rich in basic residues (~30% in human Vps22) and could be involved in this process. Consistent with this, we observe weak but reproducible binding of the N-terminal helical domain of Vps22 (residues 1–90) to brain-derived liposomes (data not shown), suggesting that Vps22 might contribute to membrane binding of ESCRT-II. Further investigations into lipid specificities and affinities of different ESCRT components are necessary in order to understand spatial and temporal aspects of ESCRT assemblies on membranes.

Conclusions
The structure of the ESCRT-II shows a remarkable, trilobal subunit arrangement, with one lobe containing Vps22/Vps36 sandwiched together side-by-side and each of the other two lobes containing one copy of Vps25. A common building block, the winged helix domain, is repeated eight times in ESCRT-II core structure, giving rise to three lobes of equal length. It is attractive to hypothesize that this could allow for easy build-up of interaction networks on a membrane. We show that ESCRT-II makes direct, strong interactions with Vps20, an ESCRT-III subunit and that this interaction involves the Vps25 subunit. We also show that purified ESCRT-II can bind directly to liposomes in vitro and that this binding very efficiently recruits Vps20 to membranes.

Protein Expression and Purification
Proteins were expressed in C41(DE3) cells, grown at 37°C to an OD600 ~ 1.0, then induced with 0.3 mM IPTG and incubated at 16°C for 12 hr. Selenomethionine-substituted protein was grown in methionine-requiring B834(DE3) cells in M9 minimal media supplemented with 1 mg/l riboflavin, 1 mg/l niacinamide, 1 mg/l pyridoxine monohydrochloride, 1 mg/l thiamine, 0.4% D (+)-glucose, 2 mM of MgSO4, 25 mg/l of FeSO4, 40 mg/l of each amino acid except methionine, 40 mg/l seleno-L-methionine, and 0.1 g/l ampicillin. Cells were resuspended in sonication buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 50 mM potassium phosphate [pH 8.0], and 100 mM NaCl) and lysed with a French press. After ultracentrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto Ni2+/H11601- affinity column equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 50 mM potassium phosphate [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 15 mM imidazole, and 0.05% Triton X-100). The column was washed with the same buffer without Triton X-100 and the protein was eluted with an imidazole gradient. Fractions containing ESCRT-II were pooled and diluted with buffer B (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 2 mM DTT), loaded onto a Q-Sepharose column, and eluted with a NaCl gradient. Fractions containing ESCRT-II were pooled, concentrated, and purified by gel filtration on Superdex 200 16/60 equilibrated in buffer C (20 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 100 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT). The purified ESCRT-II was concentrated to 7 mg/ml for crystallization screens. The GST-fusion proteins for binding assays were purified on Glutathione Sepharose resin (Amersham). The resin was washed with GST buffer A (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5, 4°C], 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, and 2 mM DTT) followed by GST buffer B (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 200 mM NaCl, and 2 mM mercaptoethanol). GST-fusion protein was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.5], 10 mM glutathione,
and 2 mM mercaptoethanol). The GST-fusion proteins were concentrated and desalted using PD-10 column from Amersham Biosciences.

Crystallization

Solutions for 1200 crystallization conditions were dispensed into reservoirs of 96-well crystallization plates (Corning, NY). Protein (100 nL) and reservoir (100 nL) solutions were added to the plates as sitting drops using a Cartesian robot (Genomics Solutions, Huntington, UK) and incubated at 17°C. Optimal crystals were obtained in 3.1% PEG 35000, 0.1 M Tris acetate (pH 8.5), 1.36 M sodium formate, and 19% glycerol. Crystals used for data collection were grown by adding 10 µL of protein to sitting wells containing 5 µL of silica hydrogel (Hampton Research) preincubated with 5 µL of reservoir solution and 2 µL of additive, either 1 M ammonium sulfate or 0.1 M ATP. Silica hydrogel was made by mixing equal parts of sodium silicate solution (2.8% v/v) with diluted acetic acid. Crystals were cryoprotected by transferring them to cryoprotectant solution containing 3.1% PEG 35000, 0.1 M Tris acetate (pH 8.5), 1.36 M sodium formate, and 22% glycerol before freezing in the cryostream at 100 K.

Data Collection, Phasing, and Model Refinement

Diffraction data were collected at 100 K. A 3.7 Å resolution SAD data set was collected at the peak of the fluorescence spectrum for a dehydrated, selenomethionine-substituted crystal and used for initial phases and model building. Subsequently, a 4 Å resolution Se-Met data set was collected for a crystal that was not dehydrated, and this was used to provide initial phases for a 3.6 Å resolution data set from a native crystal that was not dehydrated. For the Se-Met MAD phasing, data sets were collected at the fluorescence peak, inflection, and remote wavelengths. Intensities were integrated with MOSFLM (Leslie, 1992) and scaled using SCALA (CCP4, 1994). A total of 19 selenium sites (there are 20 non-N-terminal Met residues) were located using the program SnB (Howell et al., 2000; Smith et al., 1998; Weeks and Miller, 1999) and refined with auto-SHARP (de La Fortelle and Bricogne, 1997). Solvent flattening was carried out using a solvent content of 71.2% as optimized by SHARP. Table 1 lists statistics for data collection and phase refinement. The phases from the Se-Met MAD data were extended to 3.6 Å using the program DM. The assignment of the sequence to the density was consistent with the Se-Met sites. In addition, a high multiplicity data set was obtained using 2.07 Å wavelength radiation in order to detect sulfur positions by their anomalous scattering (Table 1).

Anomalous difference electron density maps calculated with the long wavelength (2.07 Å) native data set and the Se peak wavelength (0.979 Å) data set were used to establish unambiguous locations of the Cys and Met residues. The long wavelength anomalous difference map showed sulfur peaks greater than 3σ (average peak height 5σ) for all Cys residues except Vps22 Cys68 and Vps25 Cys181 and for all of the non-N-terminal Met residues. Moreover, each Met residue was associated with a peak greater than 3σ in the Se peak wavelength anomalous difference map (average peak height is 11σ). No Cys residue was associated with a peak in the Se peak wavelength length anomalous difference map (average peak height is 11σ).

Amino acid comparison and sequence analysis

The alignment of the yeast ESCRT-III protein sequences is given in Table 2. Each of the 26 residues is shown, and the identity of each residue is indicated by a single-letter code. The data are presented in a two-dimensional format that allows for easy identification of conserved and divergent residues.
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